

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,899	05/15/2001	Hendrik Rotsch	A34306	1159
7590 09/03/2004			EXAMINER	
Andreas Grubert			GOSHTASBI, JAMSHID	
Baker Botts L.L.P. 910 Louisiana Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Shell Plaza			2637	
Houston, TX 77002-4995			DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/855,899	ROTSCH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jamshid Goshtasbi-G.	2637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05/1	<u>15/2001</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-8 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 May 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date #8.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-9 are pending in the application.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings are objected to because the rectangular boxes in figures 1-3 are should be provided descriptive text labels. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- In addition to Replacement Sheets containing the corrected drawing figure(s), applicant is required to submit a marked-up copy of each Replacement

Art Unit: 2637

Sheet including annotations indicating the changes made to the previous version. The marked-up copy must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Marked-up Drawings" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing and marked-up copy will result in the abandonment of the application.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 6 and is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 6 does not refer to a preceding claim; instead, it is improperly dependent on Claim 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 is dependent on Claim 9, where Claim 9, itself, is dependent on Claim 6, creating a dependency loop that renders Claim 6 indefinite.

Art Unit: 2637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanai et al. (US 5003559) in view of Southard (US 4598257).

As to Claim 1, Kanai et al. discloses a digital transmission system (method) with the transmission unit transmitting synchronization signals (see figures 3 and 5A-57; col. 2, lines 4-15; col. 3, lines 4-15) to a reception unit; the receiver extracting the received synchronization signals; and supplying it to a clock generator [transmitter] that outputs a stable number of jitter-free (col. 3, line 30) clock signals, with predetermined frequency, and phase-locked with [and between two] synchronizing signals (col. 2, lines 16-36; col. 3 lines 16-20; col. 5, line 21 to col. 6, line 3) [because, the fact that each clock generation starts with detection of a synch signal, means that the receiver clock generates a stable (constant) number of clock signals between two synch signals]; Kanai et al., however, fails to teach the use of a second clock generator [transmitter] that is driven by the first generated clock signal and that is continuously present even when the first clock signals are absent; neither does Kanai et al. teaches how and whether the second clock signal is phase synchronized with the first

Art Unit: 2637

clock signal; however, Southard teaches that since the signal transmitted from the master clock [in a transmitter] to a slave clock [in a receiver] is subject to wander (frequency variation) and jitter (phase variation) and may lose its integrity or disappear completely (col. 1, lines 62-65), the slave clock [in the receiver] must be equipped to discern the average frequency of the master [in the transmitter] clock signal and to take quick remedial action upon detection of failure (col. 1, line 68 to col. 2, line 5), and must be capable of being maintained on a routine basis, or repaired if a failure occurs, while maintaining a stable and synchronized [with the received reference clock] signal output; Southard, also, teaches that it is known to use a receiver (slave) clock comprising of at least two redundant [clock signal generating] units, operating in synchronization with each other and with the transmitted reference clock, so that one [clock signal generating] unit can be removed and maintained or repaired while the other unit continues to operate and, ideally, being possible to switch from one of the two redundant clock units to the other while maintaining a constant frequency (slip free) and constant phase (glitch free) output signal (col. 2, lines 6-18); further, Southard discloses an apparatus that includes a first and a second clock generator (CCG) that operate in master and slave relationship, each clock generator unit comprising a controllable oscillator for generating and presenting a clock pulse signal and a device for controlling the oscillator so as to maintain the frequency of the clock pulse signal substantially constant (in the case where the subject clock generator is operating as a "master") or to cause the frequency and phase of this clock pulse signal to closely follow the frequency and phase of

Art Unit: 2637

the clock pulse signal produced by the other clock generator (in the case where the subject clock generator is operating as a "slave") (col. 3, lines 26-39); further, the master CCG is operative either to produce a stable clock signal of its own or to follow the external reference clock signal, and the slave CCG operates to produce [using the stable clock signal being supplied to it by the first clock generator] an output clock signal which is identical in frequency and substantially identical in phase to the frequency and phase, respectively, of the output clock pulse signal produced by the master CCG (col. 3, lines 55-62); further, should the master CCG become faulty [absent], it is possible to reverse the master slave relationship without the slightest phase slip or discontinuity in the output signal (col3, lines 66 to col. 4, line 7); further, each CCG contains a microprocessor based intelligent phase lock loop (PLL) (col. 6, lines 47-49) and the slave CCG closely follows the frequency and phase of its master partner by executing its very accurate frequency and phase locking algorithm (col. 14, lines 22-27) and adjusts for the phase difference between its clock signal and the master CCG clock signal by changing the frequency of the slave CCG clock signal (col. 16, lines 23-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Southard into the method of Kanai et al. for producing the claimed invention because incorporating the redundant CCG clock signal generating method of Southard in Kanai et al. digital transmission method provides for a continuous and stable clock signal in the receiver unit that is synchronized with an extracted reference clock signal of the transmitter (an external reference

Art Unit: 2637

clock) wherever an uninterrupted (and in synchronization with a transmitted synchronization instruction) data processing is needed.

Claim 2 inherits the limitations of Claim 1; further, Southard teaches that the fine phase measurements are used as input to a proportional control path and an integral control path that are added together with the frequency offset function (coarse integral part) and the modulation function to control the voltage controlled crystal oscillator (col. 10, lines 35-42); and that the execution of a routine in the slave CCG changes the frequency of the slave CCG clock signal slightly so that the phase of this clock signal will drift with respect to the phase of the master CCG clock signal; and that this phase drift is allowed to continue for 1 second; the entire fine phase locking procedure should not exceed a prescribed maximum time period (col. 19, lines 51-54). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Southard into the method of Kanai et al. for producing the claimed invention because incorporating the slight phase drift of the second (slave) CCG allows for fine (soft) synchronization.

Claim 5 inherits the limitations of Claim 1; further, Southard teaches that the first clock generator includes a control means that includes a digital phase comparator means for comparing the phase of the first clock pulse signal with the phase of a reference clock signal [the received clock signal; synchronization signals] and producing a first digital signal representative of the difference in phase; and a processing means comprising a digital microprocessor, connected to said first phase comparator means, for producing said first control signal in

Art Unit: 2637

response to said first digital signal (col. 23, lines 55-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Southard into the method of Kanai et al. for producing the claimed invention because incorporating the supplying the synchronization signal (extracted transmitted clock signal) via a phase regulator (phase comparator and frequency controller) of a PLL provides means for generating a stable clock signal in synchronization with the received reference clock signal.

As to **Claim 7**, the claimed reception unit for synchronizing signals recites features that correspond with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of Claim 1 and are applicable hereto.

Claim 8 inherits the limitations of Claims 5 and 1; further, Kanai et al. discloses the receiver clock that generates clock signals of a predetermined frequency (nominal number of clock signals) in phase-locked relationship with synchronizing signal (col. 3, lines 17-21; col. 5, lines 22-27); further, Kanai et al. teaches a synchronizing signal 2FSR is supplied to a phase comparator circuit of the PLL circuit, wherein it is phase-compared with a fed-back signal [a basic clock signal, having frequency close to the reference clock signal] from a frequency divider, where, a phase comparison error signal is then converted to a direct current voltage by a loop filter, and the oscillating frequency of an oscillator (not shown) is controlled on the basis of this direct current voltage; further, Southard teaches that a microprocessor controlled digital phase lock loop operates to control each of the two clock signal generators such that the

Art Unit: 2637

instantaneous dynamic phase difference does not exceed the phase noise of the voltage controlled crystal oscillators of the phase lock (Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Southard into the method of Kanai et al. for producing the claimed invention because incorporating the phase regulation method of Southard into the first clock of Kanai et al. provides for generation of a clock signal of a predetermined stable frequency that is fine synchronized with the received synchronization signal.

9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanai et al. (US 5003559) in view of Southard (US 4598257) as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Gegner et al. (US 4565975).

Claim 4 inherits the limitations of Claim 1 or 2; further, Gegner et al. discloses that a clock signal T2 is generated that is synchronous with the original sending pulse (in interference free operations), and is used for synchronously detection of the incoming digital signals (col. 5, lines 3-9); further, in the case of longer lasting interference [absence of the first clock pulse, or the synch signal], the oscillator VCO (using a reference voltage) generates the clock pulse T3 at a predetermined (nominal) frequency (col. 3, lines 37-42; col. 4, lines 27-29; col. 8, lines 37-41); Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Gegner et al. into the method of Kanai et al. (in view of Southard) for producing the claimed invention because controlling the oscillator of the second clock with a reference

Art Unit: 2637

voltage, in the absence of the first clock signal, drives the second clock generator with a prescribed nominal frequency.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 3, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusions

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ott [US 6154508], Ohdachi [US 6230021 B1], and Stessen et al. [US 6297849 B1] all teach various aspects of using of PLL and frequency dividers and the generation of more than one clock signals in a methods and systems for synchronization of communicating digital systems.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamshid Goshtasbi-G. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3012. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00/4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Jamshid Goshtasbi-G. Examiner Art Unit 2637

MAITRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER 9/2/04